



Epilogue

Just as September 11, 2001, proved a major turning point in global security and international relations, so, too, it signaled a major crisis and struggle within Islam and among Muslims. The terrorist attacks against the World Trade Center and the Pentagon raised disturbing questions about the nature of Islam itself—was it a religion of peace or a peculiarly violent religion? Many asked “Why do they hate us?” and expressed strong concerns about the religion of Islam and about Muslims and their relationship to violence and terror. The most persistent questions revolved around Islam’s relationship to violence, global terrorism, democracy, and modernity: “Is Islam more militant than other religions?” “What does the Quran have to say about jihad, or holy war?” “Does the Quran condone this kind of violence and terrorism?” “Is there a clash of civilizations between the West and the Muslim world?” “Are Islam and democracy compatible?” “Can Muslims in Europe and America be loyal citizens?” These questions continued as America pursued its war against global terrorism, terrorists countered with attacks from Spain and Morocco to Saudi Arabia and Indonesia, and an American-led coalition invaded Iraq and toppled Saddam Hussein.

Osama bin Laden and al-Qaeda symbolized a global jihad, a network of extremist groups threatening both Muslim countries and the West, whose roots proved deeper and more pervasive internationally than most had anticipated. This new global threat, which had emerged from the jihad against the Soviet Union’s occupation of Afghanistan, exploded across the Muslim world, from Central, South, and Southeast Asia to Europe and America. It also highlighted a struggle for the soul of Islam between mainstream Muslims and religious extremists.

The Globalization and Hijacking of Jihad

As noted earlier, jihad has played a central role in Islam, from the rise of Islam to the creation and expansion of the Muslim community.¹³⁵ The importance of jihad is rooted in the Quran's command to struggle (the literal meaning of the word *jihad*) in the path of God and in the example of the Prophet Muhammad and his early Companions. In its most general meaning, jihad refers to the obligation incumbent on all Muslims, individuals and the community, to follow and realize God's will: to lead a virtuous life and to spread Islam through preaching, education, example, and writing. Jihad also includes the right, indeed the obligation, to defend Islam and the Muslim community from aggression. Throughout history the call to jihad has rallied Muslims to the defense of Islam. These two broad meanings of jihad, nonviolent and violent, are contrasted in an often-cited Prophetic tradition. It is reported that when Muhammad returned from battle he told his followers, "We return from the lesser jihad [warfare] to the greater jihad." Historically, jihad has been subject to many interpretations and usages, spiritual and political, peaceful and violent. The meaning of Quranic passages and their use are questions that are not new—they have been debated by Muslims throughout the ages.

Like all scriptures, Islamic sacred texts must be read within the social and political contexts in which they were revealed. The Quran, like the Hebrew Scriptures, or Old Testament, has verses that address fighting and the conduct of war. Arabia and the city of Mecca, in which Muhammad lived and received God's revelation, were beset by tribal raids and cycles of vengeance and vendetta. The broader Near East, in which Arabia was located, was itself divided between two warring superpowers, the Byzantine (Eastern Roman) and the Sasanian (Persian) Empires.

The earliest Quranic verses dealing with armed struggle, or "defensive" jihad, were revealed shortly after the *hijra* (emigration) of Muhammad and his followers to Medina in flight from their persecution in Mecca. At a time when Muslims were forced to fight for their lives, Muhammad is told: "Leave is given to those who fight because they were wronged—surely God is able to help them—who were expelled from their homes wrongfully for saying, 'Our Lord is God'" (22:39–40). The defensive nature of jihad is clearly emphasized in 2:190, "And fight in the way of God with those who fight you, but aggress not: God loves not the aggressors." At critical points throughout the years, Muhammad received revelations from God that provided guidance for the jihad.

The Quran provided detailed guidelines and regulations regarding the conduct of war: who is to fight and who is exempted (48:17, 9:91), when

hostilities must cease (47:4). Most important and the response to "Whoever transgresses, fight the enemy is balanced; your enemy inclines to put your trust in God; made them dominate you and offer you peace" (4:90). From the earliest well as women and children, promise of immunity

The Quran also has verses that call for killing unbelievers who have passed, slay the idolaters, take them, and confiscate their property" (9:5). This verse is often cited by critics to denigrate Islam and its scripture. The verse is often abused by Muslim religious extremists to justify legitimate unconditional Islamic expansion (e.g., the conquests of the Arabs), who enjoyed religious freedom, sue their imperial rule, abrogated, or overrode defensive war. A few verses incompletely, the full injunction, when passed, slay the idolaters, when quoted in isolation, repent and fulfill the charitable tax on giving and kindness." "Fight those who are forbidden which is the religion of truth is often cited with willing submission. Jihad has been used to justify religiousism, and holy an

to protect Muslim peoples and lands, rulers, from early caliphs to heads of modern states such as Saddam Hussein, have often used jihad to legitimate campaigns that could spread the boundaries of their states or empires. Extremists, past and present, have justified their acts of violence and terror, from the Kharijites who assassinated the caliph Ali and the assassins of Egypt's president Anwar Sadat to Osama bin Laden and al-Qaeda and a host of extremist movements from Egypt to Indonesia.

In the late twentieth and twenty-first centuries, because of Muslim politics and global communications, jihad became more complex and widespread. On the one hand, jihad's primary Quranic religious and spiritual meanings, the "struggle" or effort to follow God's path, lead a good life, and build a just society, became more comprehensive (multifaceted) and contemporary in their applications. Jihad was used to speak of the spiritual struggle to lead a moral life as well as to create a more just society by engaging, for example, in educational, community, and social service projects. On the other hand, in response to authoritarian regimes and political conflicts, jihad became a clarion call used by resistance, liberation, and terrorist movements alike to legitimate their causes, mobilize support, and motivate their followers. The Afghan mujahidin, the Taliban, and the Northern Alliance each waged a "jihad" in Afghanistan against foreign powers and among themselves; Muslim movements in Kashmir, Chechnya, Dagestan, the southern Philippines, Bosnia, and Kosovo have fashioned their struggles as jihads; Hizbullah, HAMAS, and Islamic Jihad Palestine characterized war with Israel as a jihad; Algeria's Armed Islamic Group engaged in a jihad of terror against the government and their fellow citizens; Osama bin Laden and al-Qaeda waged a global jihad against Muslim governments and the West. The terms *jihad* and *martyrdom* (*shahid*) had gained such currency that they were also used by nationalist (secular) leaders and movements, such as Yasser Arafat and the secular Palestinian National Authority and its military wing, the al-Aqsa Brigade.

Suicide Bombers

The use of suicide bombing in attacks has become a contentious "weapon" in the waging of jihad, used in the September 11 attacks against the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, in postwar Iraq, and subsequently in many extremist attacks globally. Its widespread use began initially in Israel-Palestine.

On February 25, 1994, Dr. Baruch Goldstein, a Jewish settler who had immigrated to Israel from the United States, opened fire, killing

twenty-nine Muslim prayer in the Mosque of Islamic Resistance. The massacre; its militia, its operations within Israel. The use of suicide bombings (al-Aqsa) *intifada* (uprising) employed both by HAMAS associated with Yasser

Historically, both sides "sacrificial religious struggles" popularly called the "intifada" were notorious. In the late twentieth century, they came to equate one's life for the faith. In the Palestinian conflict, Lebanon, Indonesia, Hizbullah and al-Jihad barracks and French several hundred were

Traditionally, suicide only God has the right. The Quran may be relevant to your wealth in the world, agreed to, and do not kill yourselves" (4:29). However, the text of the verse. The exegetical literature frequently, clearly, and

In Israel-Palestine, the targeting of civilians by the Israeli, Palestinians and Muslims, is not an act of self-defense and retaliation against universities in the world, bombs, we have had

The targeting of civilians in bombings precipitates both support and

twenty-nine Muslim worshipers during their Friday congregational prayer in the Mosque of the Patriarch in Hebron. In response, HAMAS (Islamic Resistance Movement) promised swift revenge for the Hebron massacre; its militia, the Qassem Brigade, undertook suicide bombing operations within Israel itself, in Galilee, in Jerusalem, and in Tel Aviv. The use of suicide bombing increased exponentially during the second (al-Aqsa) *intifada* (uprising) that began in September 2000. It was employed both by HAMAS and the Al-Aqsa Martyrs Brigade, the militia associated with Yasser Arafat's Fatah movement.

Historically, both Sunni and Shii Muslims have generally forbidden "sacrificial religious suicide" and acts of terrorism. The Nizari Ismailis, popularly called the Assassins, who in the eleventh and twelfth centuries were notorious for sending suicidal assassins against their enemies, were rejected by mainstream Islam as fanatics. However, in the late twentieth century the issue resurfaced as many, Shii and Sunni alike, came to equate suicide bombing with martyrdom, relinquishing one's life for the faith. Although usually associated with the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, in fact, suicide bombings have also occurred in Lebanon, Indonesia, and elsewhere. In Lebanon they were used by Hizbullah and al-Jihad in attacks such as those against the U.S. Marine barracks and French military headquarters in Beirut in 1983, in which several hundred were killed.

Traditionally, suicide is unconditionally forbidden in Islam, because only God has the right to take the life he has granted. One verse in the Quran may be relevant to suicide: "O you who believe! Do not consume your wealth in the wrong way—rather only through trade mutually agreed to, and do not kill yourselves. Surely God is Merciful toward you" (4:29). However, many Muslim exegetes have believed that "do not kill yourselves" can mean "do not kill each other," since it fits the context of the verse. The subject of suicide is therefore little discussed in exegetical literature. The Prophetic traditions (*hadith*), however, frequently, clearly, and absolutely prohibit suicide.

In Israel-Palestine, increased violence and targeted killings or assassinations by the Israeli government reinforced the belief among many Palestinians and Muslims that so-called suicide bombers were committing not an act of suicide but one of self-sacrifice, engaged in resistance and retaliation against Israeli occupation and oppression. Student posters at universities in the West Bank and Gaza declared: "Israel has nuclear bombs, we have human bombs."

The targeting of innocent civilians and noncombatants by suicide bombings precipitated a sharp debate in the Muslim world, garnering both support and condemnation on religious grounds. Prominent

early caliphs to heads often used jihad to justify their acts of violence. The caliph Ali and Osama bin Laden and Egypt to Indonesia. because of Muslim more complex and Islamic religious and spiritual path, lead a good example (multifaceted) used to speak of the create a more just community, and social authoritarian regimes used by resistance, to their causes, Moroccan mujahidin, the "mad" in Afghanistan Muslim movements inippines, Bosnia, and Hizbullah, HAMAS, h Israel as a jihad, of terror against the Laden and al-Qaeda and the West. The currency that they movements, such as Authority and its

come a contentious September 11 attacks in postwar Iraq, and Its widespread use

Jewish settler who opened fire, killing

religious leaders have differed greatly in their legal opinions (*fatwas*). Sheikh Ahmad Yasin, the religious leader and founder of HAMAS, and Akram Sabri, the mufti of Jerusalem, as well as many other Arab and Palestinian religious leaders, argued that suicide bombing is necessary and justified.

Others religious leaders and scholars condemn suicide bombings, in particular those that target civilians, as terrorism. Sheikh Abdulaziz bin Abdallah al-Sheikh, grand mufti of Saudi Arabia, condemned all suicide bombings as un-Islamic and forbidden by Islam. However, Sheikh Muhammad Sayyid Tantawi, the former grand mufti of Egypt (as well as the current grand mufti, Sheikh Ahmad al-Tayyeb), drew a sharp distinction between suicide bombings that are acts of self-sacrifice and self-defense and the killing of noncombatants, women, and children, which he has consistently condemned. Sheikh Yusuf al-Qardawi, among the most influential religious authorities in the world, has given fatwas that recognize suicide bombing in Israel-Palestine as an act of self-defense, the giving of one's life for God with the hope that God will grant one Paradise. Qardawi has legitimated the killing of civilians, arguing that Israel is a militant and military society in which both men and women serve in the military and reserves, and that if an elderly person or a child is killed in such acts, it is an involuntary killing. At the same time, he has denounced acts of terrorism elsewhere as un-Islamic, or against the teachings of Islam.

The politicization of Islam in Muslim societies has enabled militants to use religion to legitimate the use of violence, warfare, and terrorism. However different, militant groups share a common worldview, a theology of hate that sees the world in mutually exclusive, black-and-white categories: the world of belief and unbelief, the land of Islam and of warfare, the forces of good against the forces of evil. Those who are not with them, whether Muslim or non-Muslim, are the enemy and are to be fought and destroyed in a war with no limits and no proportionality of goals or means. Extremists legitimated their acts of violence and terror by appealing to and reinterpreting the Islamic doctrine of jihad, creating a jihadi culture taught in mosques, schools, and seminaries. Most militants and movements have been directly or indirectly influenced by the most prominent and influential ideologue of modern Muslim extremism, Sayyid Qutb. In the late twentieth century, Osama bin Laden and al-Qaeda became the most visible symbol of the new global jihad. Bin Laden's upbringing and exposure to Wahhabi Islam coupled with his exposure to Qutb's ideas and disciples at university and in Afghanistan transformed this somewhat shy, serious, devout, polite young man into a godfather of global terrorism.

Sayyid Qutb: Martyr of

Sayyid Qutb's (1906–66) government official to r and inspired many milit followers of Osama bin

Sayyid Qutb had a Western literature. After official in Egypt's Ministry of Education, a poet and literary critic. a turning point in his severe critic of the Western culture shock that both the moral decadence permissiveness to its Qutb's stay in America state of Israel. He felt Arab bias in government

Returning to Egypt emerged as a major ideologue amid the Imprisoned and tortured assassinate President itant and radicalized un-Islamic and had t forth in his most inf

Qutb sharply divided posed camps, the forces of God and those opposed was no middle group of true Muslim less society. Since commandment, it or impose it immediately of the Egyptian and that change from brink of disaster; j a new Islamic order

Qutb regarded as demonstrated war. The Western Equally insidious,

Sayyid Qutb: Martyr of Islamic Radicalism

Sayyid Qutb's (1906–66) journey took him from educated intellectual to government official to militant ideologue and activist. Qutb influenced and inspired many militants, from the assassins of Anwar Sadat to the followers of Osama bin Laden and al-Qaeda.

Sayyid Qutb had a modern education and was a great admirer of Western literature. After graduation from university, he became an official in Egypt's Ministry of Public Instruction in Cairo as well as a poet and literary critic. Qutb's visit to America in the late 1940s proved a turning point in his life, transforming him from an admirer into a severe critic of the West. His observations and experiences produced a culture shock that both made him more religious and convinced him of the moral decadence of the West, from its materialism and sexual permissiveness to its use and abuse of alcohol to its racism. In addition, Qutb's stay in America coincided with the creation of the modern state of Israel. He felt betrayed by what he considered America's anti-Arab bias in government and the media.

Returning to Egypt, Qutb joined the Muslim Brotherhood. He quickly emerged as a major voice in the organization and its most influential ideologue amid the growing confrontation with the Egyptian regime. Imprisoned and tortured for alleged involvement in a failed attempt to assassinate President Gamal Abd al-Nasser, he became increasingly militant and radicalized, convinced that the Egyptian government was un-Islamic and had to be overthrown. Qutb's revolutionary vision is set forth in his most influential tract, *Milestones*.

Qutb sharply divided Muslim societies into two diametrically opposed camps, the forces of good and of evil, those committed to the rule of God and those opposed, the party of God and the party of Satan. There was no middle ground. He emphasized the need to develop a special group of true Muslims, a righteous vanguard, within a corrupt and faithless society. Since the creation of an Islamic government was a divine commandment, it was an imperative that Muslims strive to implement or impose it immediately. Given the authoritarian and repressive nature of the Egyptian and many other Muslim governments, Qutb concluded that change from within the system was impossible. Islam was on the brink of disaster; jihad as armed struggle was the only way to implement a new Islamic order.

Qutb regarded the West as the historic enemy of Islam and Muslims as demonstrated by the Crusades, European colonialism, and the cold war. The Western threat was political, economic, and religiocultural. Equally insidious, he believed, were the elites of the Muslim world, who

ruled and governed according to Western secular principles and values that threatened the faith, identity, and values of their own Islamic societies. Qutb denounced governments and Western secular-oriented elites as atheists against whom all true believers must wage holy war. Sayyid Qutb's radicalized worldview became a source for militant extremists from the founders of Egypt's Islamic Jihad to Osama bin Laden and others who call for a global jihad.

Wahhabi Islam

After Sayyid Qutb's execution in 1966 and the suppression of the Muslim Brotherhood, Muslim Brothers including Muhammad Qutb, Sayyid Qutb's brother, fled to Saudi Arabia, where they were employed as professors in universities, such as the one attended by Osama bin Laden, King Abdulaziz University in Jeddah, and other sectors of society.

Among Osama's teachers at King Abdulaziz University was Dr. Abdullah Azzam, who would later become prominent in Afghanistan. Azzam, a Jordanian member of the Palestinian Muslim Brotherhood and reportedly a founder of HAMAS, had strong academic and Islamic activist credentials.¹³⁶ Azzam was an advocate of a militant global jihad ideology and culture, seeing it as a duty incumbent on all Muslims. Sometimes described as the Emir of Jihad or "Godfather" of global jihad, he said: "This duty will not end with victory in Afghanistan; jihad will remain an individual obligation until all other lands that were Muslim are returned to us so that Islam will reign again; before us lie Palestine, Bokhara, Lebanon, Chad, Eritrea, Somalia, the Philippines, Burma, Southern Yemen, Tashkent and Andalusia [southern Spain]."¹³⁷

Qutb's thought found fertile ground among Saudi religious militants, who advocated a more extremist brand of Wahhabi Islam that they preached in mosques and disseminated in universities. Although he majored in civil engineering, bin Laden was also a keen student of Islamic studies. As a result, he was exposed to the more militant theological interpretations of Islam present among Saudi and foreign Muslim professors. These influences would come to fruition later. They are important for understanding the religious worldview that produced the majority of the September 11 hijackers who were from Saudi Arabia, a theology of hate that fostered Saudi support for militant Islamic groups and madrasas (seminaries) in Afghanistan and Pakistan that trained global terrorists.

Wahhabi Islam, the official form of Islam in Saudi Arabia, is among the most ultraconservative interpretations of Islam. As we have seen, the Wahhabi movement takes its name from Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab

(1703–92), a scholar of Islam. The House of Saud, the descendants of ibn Abd al-Wahhab, is the common term used by those who call themselves as *al-muwahhabin*, the doctrine of the uniqueness of Islam.

Wahhabi Islam over the centuries has had various interpretations, including the religious establishment, which promotes hate and violence. Many Wahhabis are strict, rigid, puritanical, and intolerant of others (Muslims as well as non-Muslims). Their version of Islam is based on the Prophet, Wahhabis have different beliefs and interpretations of Islam in Europe and America. Sunni or Shii Muslims are considered unbelievers or religiously inferior.

In the last half of the 20th century, the ical, violent interpretation of the Grand Mosque of Mecca (the throw of the monarch and religious) fed the extremists from other countries. Their home government teaching in universities and government ministries. Wahhabi Islam of the home in many of Saudi Arabia. Their theology and global.

Internationally, and wealthy individuals, mainstream and elite in the Muslim world have built mosques, libraries, and distribution of religious scholars to as private and global region provided for a militant brand of Islam. "jihadi" culture

principles and values
their own Islamic soci-
secular-oriented elites
wage holy war. Sayyid
or militant extremists
na bin Laden and oth-

pression of the Muslim
ammad Qutb, Sayyid
were employed as pro-
by Osama bin Laden,
ctors of society.

z University was Dr.
inent in Afghanistan.
uslim Brotherhood and
academic and Islamic
a militant global jihad
ibent on all Muslims.
lfather" of global jihad,
Afghanistan; jihad will
inds that were Muslim
before us lie Palestine,
e Philippines, Burma,
ern Spain]."¹³⁷

udi religious militants,
hhabbi Islam that they
versities. Although he
also a keen student of
he more militant theo-
udi and foreign Muslim
ruition later. They are
view that produced the
re from Saudi Arabia, a
militant Islamic groups
l Pakistan that trained

udi Arabia, is among the
1. As we have seen, the
mad ibn Abd al-Wahhab

(1703–92), a scholar of Islamic law and theology, and his revivalist move-
ment. The House of Saud has relied on its appeal to Islam and the
descendents of ibn Abd al-Wahhab for its legitimacy. Although *Wahhabi*
is the common term used to identify his movement, followers refer to
themselves as *al-muwahiddun*, those who follow and uphold the doc-
trine of the uniqueness and "unity" of God, or absolute monotheism.

Wahhabi Islam over the years has itself been subject to multiple
interpretations, including the ultraconservative exclusivist theology of
the religious establishment and more militant and radical theologies of
hate and violence. Many of its preachers and followers tend to be literal-
ist, rigid, puritanical, and intolerant, believing that they are right and all
others (Muslims as well as people of other faiths) are wrong. Presenting
their version of Islam as the pristine, pure, unadulterated message of the
Prophet, Wahhabis have sought to propagate and impose their strict
beliefs and interpretations throughout the Muslim world as well as
Europe and America. Their brand of Islam is not shared by many other
Sunni or Shii Muslims, whom many Wahhabis would condemn as
unbelievers or religious hypocrites.

In the last half of the twentieth century, the world saw the more rad-
ical, violent interpretations of Islam put into action in the 1979 seizure
of the Grand Mosque in Mecca by militants who called for the over-
throw of the monarchy. Both internal as well as external forces (political
and religious) fed the development of religious extremism. Islamic ac-
tivists from other countries, mainstream and extremist, often fleeing
their home governments, found refuge in Saudi Arabia. They found jobs
teaching in universities and religious schools as well as working in
government ministries and organizations. As a result, alongside the
Wahhabi Islam of the establishment, a militant brand of Islam found a
home in many of Saudi Arabia's mosques, schools, and society at large.
Their theology and worldview was one of militant jihad, domestic and
global.

Internationally, Saudis, both government-sponsored organizations
and wealthy individuals, have funded the export of Wahhabi Islam, in its
mainstream and extremist forms, to other countries and communities
in the Muslim world and the West. They have offered development aid;
built mosques, libraries, and other institutions; funded the publication
and distribution of religious tracts; and commissioned imams and reli-
gious scholars to preach and teach abroad. Wealthy businessmen as well
as private and government organizations in Saudi Arabia and the Gulf
region provided financial support to extremist groups who spread a mil-
itant brand of Islam (commonly referred to as Wahhabi or Salafi) with its
"jihadi" culture. As with forms of religious fundamentalism in all

faiths, there often seemed to be a fine line between those who propagated an ultraconservative, exclusivist theology and militant religious extremists such as Osama bin Laden and al-Qaeda.

A Manifesto for Global Jihad

Osama bin Laden was the multimillionaire, seemingly devout, well-educated son of a prominent Saudi family.¹³⁸ Bin Laden had fought against the Soviets in Afghanistan, a struggle that allied him with a cause supported by the United States, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, and many other nations. However, after the war he became alienated from the House of Saud and radicalized by the prospect that an American-led coalition coming to oust Saddam Hussein from his occupation of Kuwait in the Gulf War of 1991 would lead to the increased presence and influence of America in Saudi Arabia and the Gulf. Bin Laden and others like him are driven by political and economic grievances but draw on a tradition of religious extremism, past and present, to religiously justify and legitimate their path of violence and warfare.

Osama bin Laden was regarded as an inspiration to and major funder of terrorist groups suspected in the bombing of the World Trade Center in 1993, the slaughter of 18 American soldiers in Somalia, bombings in Riyadh in 1995 and in Dhahran in 1996, the killing of 58 tourists at Luxor, Egypt, in 1997, as well as the embassy bombings in Tanzania and Kenya. He threatened attacks against Americans who remained on Saudi soil and promised retaliation internationally for cruise missile attacks.¹³⁹

In February 1998 bin Laden and other militant leaders announced the creation of a transnational coalition of extremist groups, the Islamic Front for Jihad against Jews and Crusaders. Al-Qaeda was linked to a series of acts of terrorism: the truck bombings of American embassies in Kenya and Tanzania on August 7, 1998, that killed 263 people and injured more than 5,000, followed on October 12, 2000, by a suicide bombing attack against the USS *Cole*, which killed 17 American sailors.

The attacks of September 11 brought to a head and into sharp relief the magnitude of the threat of global terrorism. Jihad has been waged not only against unjust rulers and governments but also against a broad spectrum of civilians. Terrorists such as Osama bin Laden and others have gone beyond classical Islam's criteria for a just war. They recognize no limits but their own, employing any weapons or means. Like those who seek to justify preemptive strikes, they often argue that today's circumstances are so dangerous and unjust that extraordinary measures are

justified. Thus, they reject any means of a valid jihad and only the necessary amount of violence that noncombatants share declared by a legitimate jihad. It includes civilians, women, children, the elderly, the infirm, the disabled, the faithful, and other Muslims.

At the same time, bin Laden and other leaders across the Muslim world have been inspired by terrorists. The Islamic world, the oldest university of learning regarded by Muslims as sacred, issued strong, authoritative statements: "Islam provides clear guidelines for the treatment of non-combatants, as well as forbids the pursuit of surrender, the infliction of property that

Islam and Democracy

As in many other parts of the world, in eastern Europe, Latin America, and the modern Muslim world, the Muslim experience has been one of rulers possessing terror security forces. Many Muslim states have facades: parliamentary systems, the sufferance of rulers, often projected a religiously divergent viewpoint.

Yet, at the same time, there has been and democratization. Throughout much of the world, the Islamic and religious, Islamic, increasingly use greater power to test by which to movements alike.

The absence of many to ask whether Muslim culture.

justified. Thus, they reject Islamic law's regulations regarding the goals and means of a valid jihad (that violence must be proportional and that only the necessary amount of force should be used to repel the enemy), that noncombatants should not be targeted, and that jihad must be declared by a legitimate religious or political authority. Their targets include civilians, women and children, Jews, Christians, people of all faiths, and other Muslims who do not agree with them.

At the same time, many prominent Islamic scholars and religious leaders across the Muslim world have denounced this hijacking of Islam by terrorists. The Islamic Research Council at al-Azhar University, one of the oldest universities in the world and a leading center of Islamic learning regarded by many as the highest moral authority in Islam, issued strong, authoritative declarations against bin Laden's initiatives: "Islam provides clear rules and ethical norms that forbid the killing of non-combatants, as well as women, children, and the elderly, and also forbids the pursuit of the enemy in defeat, the execution of those who surrender, the infliction of harm on prisoners of war, and the destruction of property that is not being used in the hostilities."¹⁴⁰

Islam and Democracy

As in many other parts of the world, including the former Soviet Union, eastern Europe, Latin America, and Africa until recently, the history of the modern Muslim world reveals a majority of authoritarian regimes. The Muslim experience has been one of kings, military, and ex-military rulers possessing tenuous legitimacy and propped up by their military and security forces. Many have been authoritarian states with democratic facades: parliamentary institutions and political parties that existed at the sufferance of rulers. At the same time, militant Islamic movements have often projected a religious authoritarianism and political intolerance of divergent viewpoints that parallels that of secular authoritarianism.

Yet, at the same time, in recent years the call for greater liberalization and democratization has become more common and widespread. Throughout much of the Muslim world, diverse sectors of society, secular and religious, leftist and rightist, educated and uneducated, increasingly use greater political participation or democratization as the litmus test by which to judge the legitimacy of governments and political movements alike.

The absence of democracy in numerous Muslim countries has led many to ask whether this is due to peculiar characteristics of Arab and Muslim culture. Some maintain that Arab culture and/or Islam are